

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

VALERIE HODGES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OMAHA PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

Defendants.

8:12CV424

ORDER

On November 7, 2014, the court dismissed Plaintiff's claims without prejudice and entered judgment against Plaintiff. ([Filing Nos. 30](#) and [31](#).) On November 12, 2014, the court denied the plaintiff's motion to reconsider. ([Filing No. 33](#)). On November 20, 2014, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal of the court's Judgment. ([Filing No. 34](#).) Plaintiff is not a prisoner and has previously been granted leave to proceed IFP in this matter. ([Filing No. 5](#).)

As set forth in [Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24\(a\)\(3\)](#):

(a) Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

(3) Prior Approval. A party who was permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court action, or who was determined to be financially unable to obtain an adequate defense in a criminal case, may proceed on appeal in forma pauperis without further authorization, unless the district court – before or after the notice of appeal is filed– certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith or finds that the party is not otherwise entitled to proceed in forma pauperis. In that event, the district court must state in writing its reasons for the certification or finding.

The court finds that because Plaintiff proceeded IFP in the district court, she may now “proceed on appeal in forma pauperis without further authorization.”

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff is granted Leave to Appeal In Forma Pauperis.
2. The Clerk of the court shall provide the Court of Appeals with a copy of this Memorandum and Order.

November 20, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Cheryl R. Zwart
United States Magistrate Judge